:410 280 6758

MAR 1 2 2007

CENTRAL FAX CENTER

PTO/S8/21 (09-06) Approved for use through 03/31/2007, OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Under the Pagerwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.						
	BECTTO TORREST TO	THE DELECTION	Application Number		10/695,467	
TRANSMITTAL			Filing Date	October :	October 28, 2003	
FORM			First Named Inventor	John SCI	CIANDRA	
			Art Unit	2143		
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Examiner Name	George C	George C. Neurauter	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission 5			Attorney Docket Number	23368		
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply) After Allowance Communication to TC						
Fee Tran	nsmittal Form		Orawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to 10	
F	Fee Attached	U u	lcensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amenda	nont/Panly	P	etition		Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final			Petition to Convert to a		Proprietary Information	
			Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation			
	Affidavits/declaration(s)		Change of Correspondence	Address	Status Letter Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify	
Extension	on of Time Request		erminal Disclaimer	below):		
Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement			Request for Refund			
			CD, Number of CD(s)		-	
		ı <u>L</u>	Landscape Table on Cl	٥		
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Remarks						
Reply to Missing Parts/						
Incomplete Application Reply to Missing Parts						
u	under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	İ				
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name						
Laubscher & Laubscher, P.C.						
Signature As h						
Printed name Lawrence E. Laubscher, Jr.						
Date	March 12, 2007	7]	Reg. No.	28,233	
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the UŞPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below:						
Signature Sholly Hubland.						

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The Information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450, DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date

March 12, 2007

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Shelly Hubbard

Typed or printed name

RECEIVED **CENTRAL FAX CENTER** MAR 1 2 2007

PATENTS

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

SCIANDRA, John et al

Group Art Unit: 2143

Application No. 10/695,467

Examiner: George C. Neurauter

Filed: October 28, 2003

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR ACCESSING AND MANAGING

VIRTUAL MACHINES

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION OF FINAL REJECTION

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In response to the Office Action mailed February 8, 2008, applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the final rejection of the claims.

Claims 1-6, 9-12, and 14-16 remain pending herein. These claims have been rejected under 15 U.S.C. §112, first paragraph for failure to comply with the written description and enablement requirements thereof.

Reconsideration of the new grounds of rejection is respectfully requested.

On page 2 of the Office Action, the Examiner refers to paragraphs 0011 and 0013 of the specification as not providing adequate support for the limitations of the amended claims. Applicant courteously contends that the Examiner's reliance on these paragraphs is misplaced as they merely set forth in summary form the invention. A closer analysis of the detailed description of the invention at paragraphs [0022] to [0049] and of the drawing, both of which are